

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,122	02/04/2004	David S. Majkrzak	C136.12-0016	4277
759	90 08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
Nickolas E. Westman			TORRES, ALICIA M	
Westman, Champlin & Kelly Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
900 Second Avenue South			3671	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	4 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/772,122	MAJKRZAK, DAVID S.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alicia M Torres	3671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days by period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by treply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04 February 2004.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	f is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I See the attached detailed Office action for the Internation of the Internation o	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage			
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date 5/17/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/772,122

Art Unit: 3671

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered. U.S. Pat. Nos. D912,164 and D354,786 are not listed in the PTO-1449.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "25" has been used to designate two different parts in Figure 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because there is no lead line for reference number "38C" in Figure 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet,

even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears line 6 of page 6 is incomplete. Appropriate correction is required.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lawson.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,122

Art Unit: 3671

Lawson discloses a knife section (14) for a reciprocating sickle bar for a harvester, said knife section (14) comprising a base (at 30a, Figure 4A), the knife section (14) having a leading end (top edge in figure 4A), mounting holes (23b) in the base for securing the knife section (14) to a support bar, the knife section (14) having a central plane and side edges (unnumbered, see Figures 4A, 5A, or 6) at the base parallel to the central plane at the base, the leading end being of substantially less lateral width perpendicularly to the center plane than a width between the side edges, a pair of cutting edges (35b), one on each side of the knife section (14) and each cutting edge (35b) defining a cutting line that continually moves away from the center plane of the knife section (14) from a portion adjacent the leading end to a junction of a cutting edge with a respective side edge on the respective side of the knife section (14), as per claim 1;

wherein said leading end has a surface transverse to the central plane of the knife section (14), as per claim 2; and

wherein each the cutting edge (35b) is serrated, with outer serration points lying along the cutting line, as per claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawson in view of Yang et al., hereafter Yang.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,122

Art Unit: 3671

The device is disclosed above. However, Lawson fails wherein said side edge is substantially between 40% and 50% of the distance from a base end of the knife section to the leading end thereof.

Yang discloses a similar device wherein said side edge (55) is substantially between 40% and 50% of the distance from a base end (75) of the knife section (5) to the leading end (51) thereof.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the edge of Yang on the knife of Lawson in order to create a snug spacing between knives.

9. Claims 4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawson in view of Ream.

In regards to claim 4, Lawson discloses the device as applied to claim 1 above. However, Lawson fails to disclose wherein said cutting line is part of a circle.

Ream discloses a similar knife section wherein said cutting line (48, 49) is part of a circle.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the curved cutting edge of Ream on the knife of Lawson in order to provide a shearing cutting action.

10. In regards to claims 6-8, Lawson discloses a knife section (14) for a sickle having a leading end and a base with side edges, a cutting edge line (at 35b) extending from the leading

Application/Control Number: 10/772,122

Art Unit: 3671

end to a side edge without reducing the distance from the cutting edge line to a central plane of the knife section (14), as per claim 6;

wherein the cutting line (35b) lies along a smoothly sharpened edge of the sickle sections (14), as per claim 7; and

wherein the cutting edge line (35b) lies along points of cutting edge serrations on the knife section (14), as per claim 8.

However, Lawson fails to disclose a smoothly curved concave cutting edge line, as per claim 6.

Ream discloses a similar knife section (40) including a smoothly curved concave cutting edge line (48, 49), as per claim 6.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the curved cutting edge of Ream on the knife of Lawson in order to provide a shearing cutting action.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bouet has been cited as of interest.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Torres whose telephone number is 703-305-6953. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:00 a.m. 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 703-308-3870.

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113. The fax number for this Group is 703-872-9306.

Thomas R. Will

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3671

AMT

August 5, 2004